(2) if the answer is in the affirmative, the date on which this order was sent ;

(3) was it after receiving my questions regarding the above school or before?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—(1) No.

(2) and (3). In view of the answer to (1) above, no reply is necessary.

Drafting of a Bill to amend the Cochin Tenancy Regulation.

28. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the Table of the House a copy of the Government Order requesting the Government Advocate to prepare a draft Bill to amend the Cochin Tenancy Regulation ?

Mr. A. Sankara Menon.—The Member is referred to the Diwan's Proceedings, dated 28th April 1929, C. 59/1100, published on page 807 in Part I of the Cochin Government Gazette, dated 4th May 1929.

Officers who were given extensions and who were refused extensions.

29. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state the names of officers (1) who were given extension and (2) who were refused extension after the age-limit in 1104 and the reasons for doing so in each case ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Information so far collected is appended. (*Vide Appendix V.*)

Request for the appointment of a Vendor in Pathiyaram Village.

30. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state if the Diwan Peishkar has received any petition, dated 25th Medam 1104, from Padinjarakara Pyloth Thoman and others requesting that a vendor may be appointed in Pathiyaram village for the sale of stamp papers, etc., if so, what is the petition and what action has been taken on the same ;

(b) when was the request for a vendor in Pathiyaram first made to the Diwan Peishkar or the Government and how many petitions were received for the same ;

(c) what are the objections, if any, for the grant of the request ?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—(a) Yes ; necessary enquiries were made and the correspondence recorded.

(b) 28th Karkadagam 1098. Eight.

(c) Want of scope for a full time vendor.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly